EXACT PACKING DIMENSION OF RANDOM SELF-SIMILAR SETS.

ARTEMI BERLINKOV

Abstract. We extend the results previously published on exact packing dimensions of random recursive constructions to include constructions satisfying commonly occurring conditions. We remove the restrictive assumption that the diameter reduction ratios between the offspring are either constant almost surely, or 0.

arXiv:1608.00443v1 [math.MG] 1 Aug 2016

1. An exact packing dimension for the set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is the gauge function $\varphi(t)$ (i.e. a non-decreasing function such that $\varphi(0+) = 0$), giving the set positive and finite packing measure, i.e. such that $0 < \mathcal{P}^{\varphi}(K) < \infty$. No additional assumptions (like doubling condition) are imposed on the gauge function. In this article we consider random sets K obtained in the limit of a *random recursive construction*, or homogeneous random fractal.

The definition of packing measure arose in 1980's as a counterpart to the Hausdorff measure. The definitions and properties of Hausdorff and packing measures can be found in the monograph by P. Mattila ([8]). The definition of random recursive construction is adduced below, it can also be found in [1],[5],[6],[9].

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Denote by $\Delta^* = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \Delta^j$ the set of all finite sequences of numbers in Δ, and by $\Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$ the set of all their infinite sequences. The result of concatenation of two finite sequences σ and τ from Δ^* is denoted by $\sigma * \tau$. For a finite sequence σ, its length will be denoted by $|\sigma|$. For a sequence σ of length at least k, $\sigma|_k$ is a sequence consisting of the first k numbers in σ.

Suppose that J is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $J = \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{Int}(J))$, without loss of generality its diameter equals one. The construction is a probability space (Ω, Σ, P) with a collection of random subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ – $\{J_\sigma(\omega) | \omega \in \Omega, \sigma \in \Delta^*\}$, so that the following conditions hold.

(i) $J_\emptyset(\omega) = J$ for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$,
(ii) For all $\sigma \in \Delta^*$ the maps $\omega \to J_\sigma(\omega)$ are measurable with respect to Σ and the topology generated by the Hausdorff metric on the space of compact subsets,
(iii) For all $\sigma \in \Delta^*$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, the sets J_σ, if non-empty, are geometrically similar to J,
(iv) For almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $J_{\sigma * i}$ is a proper subset of J_σ provided $J_\sigma \neq \emptyset$,
(v) The construction satisfies the random *open set condition*: if σ and τ are two distinct sequences of the same length, then $\mathrm{Int}(J_\sigma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(J_\tau) = \emptyset$ a.s. and, finally,
(vi) The random vectors $\mathbf{T}_\sigma = (T_{\sigma * 1}, T_{\sigma * 2}, \dots)$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^*$, are conditionally i.i.d. given that $J_\sigma(\omega) \neq \emptyset$, where $T_{\sigma * i}(\omega)$ equals the ratio of the diameter of $J_{\sigma * i}(\omega)$ to the diameter of $J_\sigma(\omega)$.

Date: August 2, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A78, 28A80; Secondary 60D05, 60J80.

Key words and phrases. Exact packing dimension, packing measure, random strong open set condition, random fractal.

Research was supported by ISF grant 396/15; Center for Absorption in Science, Ministry of Immigrant Absorption, State of Israel.

By [1, Proposition 1], we can replace conditional i.i.d. vectors in condition (vi) by an i.i.d. sequence of random vectors $\mathbf{T}_\sigma$, such that for non-empty offspring the vector components give the diameter reduction ratios between the offspring and the parent. The object of study is the random set

$$K(\omega) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Delta^k} J_\sigma(\omega).$$

Graf et al. in [6] have found under certain conditions the exact Hausdorff dimension of the limit set so that the φ-Hausdorff measure of $K(\omega)$ is positive and finite almost surely given $K(\omega) \neq \emptyset$. In [3] Berlinkov and Mauldin have found an upper bound on exact packing dimension. In [2] Berlinkov proved that under two additional assumptions this upper bound is the best. These assumptions will be shown late, one of them states that there exists $\delta > 0$, such that $P(T_i = \delta | T_i \neq 0) = 1$. In this article we show that the same result holds without the last assumption, and this allows for more applications (see the examples).

2. Now we will introduce more notation and state the result more precisely. Let α denote the almost sure Hausdorff dimension of the non-empty random limit set $K(\omega)$. It is the root of equation (see, e.g. [5])

$$E\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^\alpha\Big] = 1.$$

By a result from [3], the packing and Minkowski dimensions also equal α.

For $\sigma \in \Delta^*$ let $l_\sigma(\omega) = \operatorname{diam}(J_\sigma(\omega))$, $K_\sigma(\omega)$ is the limit set we obtain if the code tree is pruned to start at σ, $K_\sigma(\omega) \subset J_\sigma(\omega)$. It has been noted (see, e.g. [6]) that for $\sigma \in \Delta^m$ the sums below form a martingale whose limits is denoted by

$$X_\sigma = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{|\tau|=n} l_{\sigma*\tau}^\alpha.$$

Further, following [9], we define a random measure μ_ω on the clopen cylinder sets $A(\sigma) = \{\tau \in \Delta^{\mathbb{N}} | \tau_{|\sigma|} = \sigma\} \subset \Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$ by $\mu_\omega(A(\sigma)) = l_\sigma^\alpha(\omega) X_\sigma(\omega)$ and then we extend it to a random Radon measure on $\Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$. Following [6] we define measure Q on the space $\Delta^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Omega$. For a Borel subset $B \subset \Delta^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Omega$ we put

$$Q(B) = \int \mu_\omega(B_\omega) dP(\omega),$$

where B_ω are the sections of the set B with respect to the second component. Also we can extend the random variables l_σ, X_σ and T_σ onto the space $\Delta^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Omega$ by considering

$$l_k(\eta, \omega) = l_{\eta|_k}(\omega),\ X_k(\eta, \omega) = X_{\eta|_k}(\omega),\ T_k(\eta, \omega) = T_{\eta|_k}(\omega).$$

We show that under the folowing assumption holds the following theorem.

Assumption 1. There exist $p_0, \rho > 0$, $s_0 \geq 0$ and a collection of events R_σ in the σ-algebra generated by random vectors $(T_{\tau*1}, \ldots, T_{\tau*n})$, $\sigma \prec \tau$, $|\tau| < |\sigma| + s_0$, such that $R_\sigma \cap \{K_\sigma \neq \emptyset\} \neq \emptyset$, for every $w \in R_\sigma \cap \{K_\sigma \neq \emptyset\}$ there exists $x \in K_\sigma$ with $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial J_\sigma) \geq \rho l_\sigma$, and $\int\limits_{R_\sigma} \sum\limits_{|\tau|=s_0} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{\sigma*\tau|_i}^\alpha dP = p_0$.

This assumption was proven to hold for random homogeneous self-similar sets [2, Proposition 2] (i.e. sets, for which not only the reduction ratios but also the similarity maps between parent and its offspring are i.i.d.).

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the construction satisfies assumption 1, then*

1. If $P(0 < X \leq a) \asymp a^\beta$, $a \to 0$ *and* $\varphi(t) = t^\alpha g(t)$ *is a gauge function, then* $\int\limits_{0^+} \frac{g^{\beta+1}(s)}{s} ds = +\infty$ *implies* $P(\mathcal{P}^\varphi(K(w)) = +\infty | K(w) \neq \emptyset) = 1$.
2. If $-\log P(0 < X \leq a) \asymp a^{1/\beta}$, $a \to 0$ *for all* $a \in (0,1)$, *then for* $\varphi(t) = t^\alpha g(t) = t^\alpha |\log|\log t||^\beta$, $P(\mathcal{P}^\varphi(K(w)) > 0 | K(w) \neq \emptyset) = 1$.

We call case 1 in the above theorem the case of polynomial decay, and case 2 - the case of exponential decay.

To get the same conclusion, Berlinkov [2, Theorem 2] used an additional condition that for $B_k = \{l_k^\alpha X_{k+s_0} < C\varphi(l_k\rho)\} \cap R_k$ holds $Q(\overline{\lim}_k B_k) = 1$, where R_k is the extension of events R_σ onto the space $\Delta^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Omega$: $R_k = \{(\eta, \omega) \in \Delta^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Omega | \omega \in R_{\eta|_k}\}$. It was proven that the equality $Q(\overline{\lim}_k B_k) = 1$ holds under an additional assumption

Assumption 2. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $P(T_i = \delta | T_i \neq 0) = 1$ for all i.

We show that this second assumption is not necessary by proving the following

Proposition 2. *Let* $B_k = \{l_k^\alpha X_{k+s_0} < C\varphi(l_k\rho)\} \cap R_k$, *then* $Q(\overline{\lim}_k B_k) = 1$ *for all constants* C *small enough in polynomial case, and in exponential case for all constants* C *for which the series* $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} Q(B_k)$ *diverges.*

Together with [3, Theorem 6], in which the exact packing dimension bound was proven from the other side, this allows us to tell the exact packing dimension in the case of exponential decay or absence of one in the case of polynomial decay. The results from the article of Q. Liu ([7]) sometimes allow us to determine the exponent of decay, and whether it is polynomial or exponential. Current state of results about small ball probabilities of the martingale limit to the extent of the author's knowledge covers only a fraction of the cases we may have.

3. Let us have look at some examples. In the following example the rate of decay is polynomial and the reduction ratios are independent, which allows us to apply [7, Theorem 4.1(iii)].

Example 1. *A random Cantor set.*

Choose two numbers independently at random with respect to the uniform distribution from $J_0 = [0,1]$. Let J_1, J_2 be the rightmost and leftmost subintervals of the partition (cf. [6, Example 6.3]). Then the vector (T_1, T_2) has density 2 with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the triangle $0 \leq T_1, T_2 \leq 1$, $T_1 + T_2 \leq 1$. By [9, Example 4.2], the Hausdorff dimension $\alpha = (\sqrt{17} - 3)/2$. From geometrical considerations

$$P(T_1 < x) = P(T_2 < x) = x - x^2/2,$$

and thus

$$P(T_1^\alpha < x) = P(T_2^\alpha < x) = (x - x^2/2)^{1/\alpha}.$$

By [7, Theorem 4.1], $P(X < x) \asymp x^{2/\alpha}$, $x \to 0$. Hence for all gauge functions the corresponding packing measure will be either 0 or infinite, depending on the integral test (note that we do not require the doubling condition, as noted in the beginning of this note), therefore the exact packing dimension function does not exist as opposed to the exact Hausdorff dimension function, which equals $t^\alpha |\log|\log t||^{1-\alpha}$ (cf. [6, Example 6.3]).

The next example is complicated by the fact that the reduction ratios are not independent.

Example 2. *The zero set of the Brownian bridge.*

Let B_t denote the one-dimensional Brownian motion starting at 0. Then $B_t^0 = B_t - tB_1$ is the Brownian bridge ($0 \le t \le 1$). This set can be considered as a random self-similar set as pointed out in [6, Example 6.1]. If we define $\tau_1 = \inf\{t \le 1/2 | B_t^0 = 0\}$, $\tau_2 = \sup\{t \ge 1/2 | B_t^0 = 0\}$, and set $J_0 = [0,1]$, $J_1 = [0,\tau_1]$, $J_2 = [\tau_2, 1]$ and continue by recursion, then the zero set of the Brownian bridge can be represented as a random self-similar set with reduction ratios $T_1 = \tau_1$ and $T_2 = 1 - \tau_2$ which are identically distributed but dependent random variables with joint distribution density (cf. [6, (6.12)])

$$f(u,v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathbb{1}_{[0,1/2]\times[0,1/2]}(u,v)(vt)^{-1/2}(1-v-t)^{-3/2}$$

The Hausdorff dimension of this set $\alpha = 1/2$ a.s. By Example 6.3 in [6], for all $0 < \xi < 1/2$ holds $E[1/\min(T_1^\xi, T_2^\xi | T_i > 0)] < \infty$. By [6, (6.9)] and from the article of Chung [4, (2.6)] we can deduce that

$$P(T_1 < x) = P(T_2 < x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin x \asymp \sqrt{x},\ x \to 0$$

and therefore $P(T_1^\alpha < x) = P(T_2^\alpha < x) \asymp x, x \to 0$. Thus by [7, Theorem 2.4] we have $P(X < x) = O(x^2)$. For the lower probability estimate of $P(X < x)$ we can use [7, Lemma 3.4(i)]. To get an asymptotic estimate, according to that lemma, it suffices to prove that $P(T_1^\alpha + T_2^\alpha < x) \ge Cx^2$ for some constant $C > 0$ when x approaches 0.

$$P(T_1^\alpha + T_2^\alpha < x) = E_P[\mathbb{1}_{\{T_1^\alpha + T_2^\alpha < x\}}] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{1/2} \mathbb{1}_{\sqrt{v}+\sqrt{t}<x} (vt)^{-1/2}(1-v-t)^{-3/2} dvdt$$

After a change of variables $z = \sqrt{v}$, $y = \sqrt{t}$, we obtain

$$P(T_1^\alpha + T_2^\alpha < x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\sqrt{1/2}} \int_0^{\sqrt{1/2}} \mathbb{1}_{z+y<x} (1-z^2-y^2)^{-3/2} dzdy.$$

We continue by going over to polar coordinates and noting that the triangle $0 \le z+y < x$ contains quarter of a ball with center 0 and radius $\sqrt{3}x/2$

$$P(T_1^\alpha + T_2^\alpha < x) \ge \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{3}x/2} \frac{r dr d\phi}{(1-r^2)^{3/2}} = (1-r^2)^{-1/2} \Big|_0^{\sqrt{3}x/2}$$
$$= \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-3x^2/4}} - 1 \Big) \asymp \frac{3x^2}{8},\ x \to 0.$$

Thus we can state that there is no exact packing dimension for the zero set of the Brownian bridge.

4. In order to prove Proposition 2 we will use the following result from the article of Ortega and Wschebor ([10]), which is an extension of Borel-Cantelli lemma.

Theorem 3. *Let $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of events in a probability space such that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty Q(B_k) = \infty$, and*

$$\varlimsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{1\le i<j\le k} \big(Q(B_iB_j) - Q(B_i)Q(B_j) \big)}{\big(\sum_{i=1}^k Q(B_i) \big)^2} \le 0, \tag{1}$$

then $Q\big(\overline{\lim} B_k\big) = 1$.

Divergence of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q(B_k)$ for all $C > 0$ in polynomial case, and for all $C > \rho^{-\alpha} e^{\alpha E_Q[|\log T_1|]} t_0^{-\beta}$, where $t_0 = \underline{\lim}_{x\to 0} -x^{-1/\beta} \log P(0 < X \le x) < \infty$, in exponential case was established in [2, Proposition 1]. Then Proposition 2 immediately follows by Theorem 3 from divergence of the series and the following lemma

Lemma 4. *In polynomial case there exists $M > 0$ such that for all constants C small enough*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} Q(B_iB_j) - Q(B_i)Q(B_j) \le MQ(B_j).$$

In the exponential case there exists $M > 0$ such that the above inequality holds for all values of C for which the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q(B_k)$ diverges.

Proof. To estimate the expressions $Q(B_iB_j) - Q(B_i)Q(B_j)$, note that by [2, Lemma 6] we have

$$Q(B_i) = p_0 Q(l_i^{\alpha} X_{i+s_0} \le C\varphi(\rho l_i)),$$

and by [2, Lemma 10], for all i and j such that $i + s_0 < j$, we have

$$Q(B_iB_j) \le p_0 Q(Y_{i,j} \le C\rho^{\alpha} g(l_i\rho))Q(B_j),$$

where $Y_{i,j} = X_{i+s_0} - X_j \prod_{k=i+s_0+1}^{j} T_k^{\alpha}$. Thus

$$Q(B_iB_j) - Q(B_i)Q(B_j) \le$$

$$p_0 Q(B_j) Q\Big(C\rho^{\alpha} g(l_i\rho) < X_{i+s_0} \le X_j \prod_{k=i+s_0+1}^{j} T_k^{\alpha} + C\rho^{\alpha} g(l_i\rho)\Big) \quad (2)$$

Note that

$$M_1 := E_Q[T_1^{\alpha}] < 1, \quad (3)$$

and choose $\delta \in (M_1, 1)$. The last factor in inequality (2) can be estimated as follows

$$Q\Big(C\rho^{\alpha} g(l_i\rho) < X_{i+s_0} \le X_j \prod_{k=i+s_0+1}^{j} T_k^{\alpha} + C\rho^{\alpha} g(l_i\rho)\Big) \le$$

$$Q\Big(C\rho^{\alpha} g(l_i\rho) < X_{i+s_0} \le \delta^{j-s_0-i} + C\rho^{\alpha} g(l_i\rho\Big) + Q\Big(X_j \prod_{k=i+s_0+1}^{j} T_k^{\alpha} > \delta^{j-s_0-i}\Big), \quad (4)$$

and the last term in the above inequality (4) can be bounded by Markov's inequality

$$Q\Big(X_j \prod_{k=i+s_0+1}^{j} T_k^{\alpha} > \delta^{j-s_0-i}\Big) < E_Q[X_0](M_1/\delta)^{j-i-s_0}, \quad (5)$$

Note that by [7, Corollary to Theorem 2.2] we have the Q-density of $Q(0 < X \le a)$ bounded by constant M_2 on $[0,1]$, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} Q\Big(C\rho^\alpha g(l_i\rho) < X_{i+s_0} \le X_j \prod_{k=i+s_0+1}^{j} T_k^\alpha + C\rho^\alpha g(l_i\rho)\Big) \le$$

$$s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-s_0-1} \Big[M_2\delta^{j-s_0-i} + E[X_0](M_1/\delta)^{j-i-s_0} + Q(C\rho^\alpha g(l_i\rho) > 1-\delta^{j-s_0-i})\Big] \quad (6)$$

Note that by [9, (1.14)], $l_i(\omega) \searrow 0$ a.s., and suppose that $\overline{\lim}_{0+} g(t) = \theta > 0$ (this can only happen in case of polynomial decay). There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $t < t_0$ we have $g(\rho t) < 2\theta$. Then for all C such that $(1-\delta)/C\rho^\alpha > 2\theta$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q(C\rho^\alpha g(l_i\rho) > 1-\delta) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q(l_i > t_0) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_Q[T_1]^i/t_0 < \infty.$$

In case $\overline{\lim}_{0+} g(t) = 0$ the considerations the inequluality $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} Q(C\rho^\alpha g(l_i\rho) > 1-\delta) < \infty$ holds for all C by similar considerations. □

References

[1] A. Berlinkov, *On random fractals with infinite branching: Definition, measurability, dimensions*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincarè Probab. Statist. **49**, 1080–1089 (2013).

[2] A. Berlinkov, *Exact packing dimension in random recursive constructions*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **126**, pp. 477–496 (2003).

[3] A. Berlinkov and R. D. Mauldin, *Packing measure and dimension of random fractals,* J. Theoret. Probab., **1**5, No. 3, pp. 695–713 (2002).

[4] K. L. Chung, *Excursions in Brownian motion*, Ark. Math. **1**4, pp. 155–177 (1976).

[5] K. J. Falconer. Random Fractals. *M*ath. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **100** (1986), pp. 559–582.

[6] S. Graf, R. D. Mauldin and S. C. Williams. *T*he exact Hausdorff dimension in random recursive constructions, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **3**81 (1988).

[7] Q. Liu, *Asymptotic properties and absolute continuity of laws stable by random weighted mean*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **95**, pp. 83–107 (2001).

[8] P. Mattila , Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

[9] R. D. Mauldin and S. C. Williams, Random recursive constructions: asymtotic geometric and topological properties. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* ***295***, 325–346 (1986).

[10] J. Ortega and M. Wschebor, *O*n the sequences of partial maxima of some random sequences, Stochastic Process. Appl. **1**6, 85–98, (1983).

Department of Mathemtics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, 5290002, Israel
E-mail address: artem.berlinkov@gmail.com